





October 9, 1968

The Honorable Nelson Rockefeller,  
Governor of New York,  
22 West 55th Street,  
New York, N. Y. 10019

Dear Governor Rockefeller:

Thank you for the reply of your secretary, Ann C. Whitman, to my letters and enclosure, "Assassinations of the Industrial State".

I trust I have now achieved a fully confidential channel to communicate with you, even though as I fully realize, the concept of an organized political conspiracy for the assassinations of John F. Kennedy, Martin Luther King, and Robert F. Kennedy is yet too incredible for belief. I refused to entertain such a possibility until the third assassination with a common modus operandi forced me to undertake the penetrating study referred to above.

I wish to provide you in this letter a very confidential analysis of political probabilities one month before the national elections. You will then be in position to judge from future events the sagacity, or lack of it, of my recommendations for your actions to prepare to achieve the Presidency on January 20, 1969 if the following possibility projections for the elections on November 5th prove out. Since my projection of the Cuban missiles crisis two years and eight months before the fact proved out, I feel it is my duty to project even a possibility if the exploitation of the opportunity is capable of changing my country's prospects of domination by the military-industrial-complex for yet another presidential term.

I respect your decision to support the Republican ticket at all levels including that of the Presidency and Vice Presidency. The limitation of your support to only a minority of Republican voters as of the Republican convention dictates that course of action. However, let me point out that your obligation under party discipline ceases the moment the election returns indicate that no single presidential nominee has secured a majority of the electoral votes. Your obligation then will be to your country and to the majority of the total electorate that will demand a continuation of the new politics revolution begun by John F. Kennedy, Martin Luther King and Robert F. Kennedy. Your own new politics philosophy is closer to theirs than to that of Nixon-Agnew. Between now and November 5th you may desire to establish your image as an acceptable Republican-Democratic coalition nominee of the Electoral College or of the House of Representatives.

Before I explain this, permit me to up-date my probability projections of June 6th and thereafter as a result of the assassination of Robert F. Kennedy. I then projected that the vote for George Wallace would be 20% to 25% of the popular vote. Since polls then indicated only 8%, this projection was right on the nose. Current polls indicate as high as 21% of the total national vote with a



(2)

plurality in several Southern states. This, of course, did not just happen but was planned, organized and financed, just as the Agnew right wing candidacy was planned, organized and financed at the expense of you and your followers.

I hereby tighten up my original estimate, projecting the national popular vote for the Wallace-Lemay ticket to be 24% to 25%. This increases the probability that neither of the major party tickets will achieve a majority of the Electoral College with its share of the remaining 75% or 76% reflected in state-by-state electoral votes. Indeed, the possibility of the Nixon-Agnew ticket achieving an electoral vote majority is greatly reduced if the 46 electors from New York cast their votes for Nelson Rockefeller in fear of a Wallace-Nixon deal to establish an electoral vote majority.

The original projection of Wallace's finishing voter strength and strategy was achieved by predicting the right wing "law and order" emphasis of Nixon strategy, the Southern right wing success in imposing a right wing vice-presidential nominee, the military-industrial-political complex money and strategy behind Wallace, and the planned impact of Complex secret support for ultra left wing disorder upon the white back-lash vote.

I correctly foresaw in the "Assassinations" study that the assassinations of Martin Luther King and Robert Kennedy, if indeed they were the result of a military-industrial-political complex conspiracy, would be followed up by election-related ultra left wing violence and black revolutionist terrorism. These predictions of the study were borne out at Chicago and Cleveland respectively. It has become obvious that the Complex objective was a provoked white back-lash vote in support of both the Wallace and the Nixon nominees. There need be no inference here that Nixon himself participated in such strategy to see him as the prisoner of this strategy. Your own final drive to stop Nixon on the first ballot made him a prisoner of the Thurmond-Agnew strategy of buying the vice presidency with first ballot votes.

So far, so good for the projections. However, I erred in projecting that the frustration of the followers of Robert F. Kennedy and Eugene McCarthy might result in the formation of a substantial fourth party for the 1968 election. I did not, however, err in predicting that the military-industrial-political complex would infiltrate and secretly control the fourth party. It was because I felt that a fourth party substantial vote potential could be snatched from the imminent control of the Complex by a strong "new politics" national union ticket that I made the proposal of September 5th to you. Nationally known vote-getting nominees would dominate the New Party machinery rather than vice versa.

Your good judgment in supporting the Republican ticket combined with the good judgment of Democrats who supported Eugene McCarthy and the late Robert F. Kennedy and established a New Democratic Coalition within the Democratic Party has frustrated the Complex objective of neutralizing a large bloc of votes by controlling the New Party.



(3)

My superficial examination of the leadership of the New Party in Washington State was positive with regard to the potential for secret Complex control. Mrs. Siegel, the only New Party leader outside of the national leader Marcus Raskin mentioned in the Wall Street Journal article of August 30, 1968, referred to in my first letter to you, is Chairman of the New Party in Washington State.

Investigation established that Mrs. Siegel, who lives in the well-to-do neighborhood of Bellevue near Seattle, opened up a New Party office in the central (negro) district of Seattle and an employment agency in the downtown area at approximately the same time. I telephoned her employment office when she was out of town at the New Party convention in Chicago. It is interesting that all these New Party leaders from all over the United States were in Chicago before, during and after the riots that shocked the nation, but were predicted in "Assassinations".

Mrs. Siegel's tape recorded voice came on the line referring callers to three other phone numbers, one of which was another personnel agency and a second which turned out to be the switchboard of the Lockheed company's shipbuilding division in Seattle. The Vice Chairman of the New Party in Washington State turned out to be the personnel director of Lockheed who was receiving calls for the New Party in the absence of Mrs. Siegel!

Of course, this relationship between Mrs. Siegel and the Lockheed company, the nation's largest defense contractor with current contracts of over \$10 billion annually, makes it very easy for Lockheed to pay organizing costs of the New Party in Washington State disguised as employer-paid employment fees on new employees.

My probability analysis of "enemy intentions and capabilities", based upon the theory of a sinister Complex conspiracy behind the assassinations of anti-war political leaders, therefore, has been amazingly accurate with regard to the "enemy" and inaccurate only with regard to the enemy's capability of influencing the actions of the "friendlies". The "friendlies" or supporters of the "new politics" in both the older parties have successfully rejected the tempting but disastrous policy of bolting their parties. This disastrous course would have served only Complex objectives. I underestimated "friendlies" resistance to the Complex trap.

With this adjustment of June predictions to conform to the greater information available from hindsight, I hereby project, Governor Rockefeller, that you can still become President on January 20, 1969 if the following conditions make you the logical national union ticket selection of the Electoral College or the House of Representatives or the Senate (for Vice-President):

1. George Wallace and Curtis LeMay take 24% or 25% of the popular vote and the electoral votes of four or more southern states.



(4)

2. Richard Nixon requires the 46 electoral votes of New York to win a majority of the Electoral College but the New York electors, in an unprecedented but nevertheless legal action, cast their votes for Nelson Rockefeller, or

neither major party nominee receives a majority of the electoral vote.

3. A revulsion or "double back-lash" of public opinion against "establishment" nominees of the two major parties and their demonstrated lack of "capacity to govern" with majority consent together with scandalous revelations of Complex campaign funds support for nominees of all significant parties from far right to far left supports the selection of a national union ticket of Rockefeller for President and McCarthy for Vice President by the Electoral College or by the House and Senate.

There is now little doubt of Complex strategy for the election of the President this year. H. L. Hunt, ultra right wing independent oil billionaire of Dallas, Texas, is now supporting both the Nixon-Agnew and the Wallace-LeMay tickets with ample campaign funds. If investigation were made, it is very probable that this same and other Complex sources provided strategy and campaign funds to insure that Spiro Agnew and Strom Thurmond made you their "patsy" threat to Nixon that forced a right wing vice presidential candidate upon the Republican Party.

There is an old saying that a wise man may be fooled once but only a fool is fooled twice by the same stratagem. The pro-war racist southern clique of the Republican Party operating through Strom Thurmond made you their patsy threat and used Spiro Agnew. Then Nixon made you the prisoner of party regularity. Party regularity, however, is a restraint only upon honorable men. Dishonorable men including those of the Complex have no use for party regularity as they have been providing campaign funds for both major parties at least since 1948.

Now you, the liberal wing of the Republican Party, and the entire American electorate are soon to become the "patsy" of a small group of southern defense contractors and oil companies. You and your family name, associated as it is with the oil industry, will thus be a patsy of this evil Complex strategy for the second time. The American electorate has been the patsy of their campaign funds strategy since 1948. This is how the Complex is taking absolutely no chances of losing control of pro-war policies in foreign affairs and pro "law and order" racial repression policies for the next four years.



(5)

George Wallace let the cat out of the bag in order to convince voters that a vote for Wallace was not a wasted vote. He was right. He predicted that the election would be resolved in the Electoral College rather than in the House of Representatives if no party's nominee wins a majority of electoral votes. Again he was right.

Originally in colonial days it was necessary for electors of the new government to enjoy discretion in voting for President and Vice President. Lack of communications made it impossible for the rank and file voters to know or evaluate the nominees at a remote city where the balloting of the Electoral College took place. Consequently, even today, because of this constitutional precedent establishing the clear right of electors to exercise discretion, they will not be bound to the nominees they are pledged to on the ballot this November 5th.

Consequently, Wallace electors can vote for Nixon and will if their Electoral College votes are necessary for Nixon to win on the first ballot. Isn't this precisely the strategem that fooled you and millions of your "new politics" followers when the Agnew-Thurmond conspiracy won the Republican nomination for Nixon on the first ballot? The price the Wallace electors will exact will be paid by the liberal wing of the Republican Party and the American electorate as a whole.

Nixon can afford to take an independent stance against Wallace publicly because any deal made with Wallace involving Complex-desired policy for Wallace electoral votes can be disavowed publicly even if Wallace's electoral votes provide Nixon's electoral majority. Nixon's appellation of "tricky Dick" was earned, not inherited from the days of the "old Nixon".

The key to frustrating this Complex strategy could be one Nelson Rockefeller because he has already been the victim of one Complex "first ballot" stratagem. However, his party regularity as an honorable man could be used again by others with less than honorable purpose. Worse, his family name which is publicly associated with the oil industry, but not with political corruption, may be dragged through the mud of post-election investigations.

Why? Because H. L. Hunt and oil companies concentrated in the South have already contributed such large amounts of campaign funds to both the Wallace-LeMay and the Nixon-Agnew campaigns that this will be impossible to conceal in the inevitable post-election inquest into what happened to the American electorate's choice. Just as Hubert Humphrey has been unable to shake the image of LBJ, you may be unable to shake a post-investigation image of the oil companies. Your undiluted influence as a firm supporter of the new politics within the Republican Party is so important to the future of our country that this should be prevented at all costs.



Without due care you could become a captive of Complex strategy. It is no secret that you wish to exercise your executive talents by assuming meaningful national executive responsibilities for the federal government. Richard Nixon will have disappointed me if he hasn't already offered you a choice appointment in his administration. This could possibly make you his captive before the Electoral College makes its selection.

Before you surrender complete independence of action with regard to possible election by the Electoral College or the House of Representatives, however, consider the following probabilities of the next four years that will make it impossible for a Nixon-Agnew team with the kiss of death of Wallace-LeMay support to govern with the consent of the majority of the governed:

1. The triple relationship of H. L. Hunt, Dallas oil billionaire, to extensive campaign funding of both Nixon and Wallace, to the Central Intelligence Agency, and to the assassination of John F. Kennedy will certainly be exposed in spite of his wealth.
2. The close association of the oil industry with the Central Intelligence Agency will be exposed.
3. The association of the Central Intelligence Agency with the sanctioned diversion of military payments certificates (MPC's) profits out of South Vietnam to the Communist Chinese government bank in Hongkong will be exposed.
4. Wholesale corruption of the military supply stream to South Vietnam under the management of large military contractors and for the benefit of the contractors, Communist China and the Viet Cong will be exposed.
5. The trial of James Earl Ray will reveal, in spite of extreme precautions to prevent it, that although his fingerprints were on the rifle so conveniently left at the scene of the crime, he will not be positively identified by eye witnesses as the man who ran down the hall and out the door of the rooming house after killing Martin Luther King. The key witness is now in jail in protective custody of the FBI after failing to identify James Earl Ray as the man he saw.

This exposure of a more sophisticated crime will force the reopening of investigation into the murder of John F. Kennedy because the "proof" of Lee Harvey Oswald's sole guilt for the murder is tied to the same modus operandi of "proof" to convict a "patsy" by means of a readily traceable gun and fingerprints while the triggerman remains without positive identification. Whoever planned the assassination made the fatal error of failing to anticipate the possibility that the impact of the shots would be recorded by the lens of a movie camera. The recorded impact of two bullets within one second will eventually demolish the official theory.



6. The trial of Sirhan Sirhan will fail to establish arab nationalism as the ideological motive for the killing of Robert F. Kennedy just as the Warren Report failed to establish communism as the ideological motive of Lee Harvey Oswald.

On the other hand, the trial of Sirhan Sirhan will establish that he was under the influence of the Peking brand of communism that believes that all political power grows out of the barrel of a gun.

The Sirhan trial will eventually precipitate an investigation into why his act inspired a rally of thousands of Red Guards in Canton, China, on the same day as the release of the news of the assassination within China and on the same day as Senator Kennedy's funeral in New York. The Red Guard rally, obviously enjoying advance preparation before the assassination news was released, burned Senator Kennedy in effigy and hailed Sirhan Sirhan as a Red Guard hero.

Such investigation will in turn inspire investigation into the billion-dollar leaks of military payment certificates (MPC's) profits, black market profits, surplus military property profits, and international arms ring profits to Communist China from South Vietnam. These facts are inexplicable unless there exists a working "modus vivendi" between the Complex and Communist China supported by the CIA. Both the defense contractors and Communist China have a common vested interest in assuring the continued flow of American dollars to South Vietnam.

Governor Rockefeller, you have indisputable proof, at least, that I have confidence in my own evaluations and projections. I should fear to write you this letter implicating an oil billionaire, various oil companies and huge defense contractors, as well as the Central Intelligence Agency, if I believed your family's oil fortune made you a part of this sordid and evil conspiracy. My life wouldn't be worth a Kennedy half dollar!

My evaluation and projection places you in the position of an unknowing and unwilling "patsy" for the evil political conspiracies of the Complex which needs the support of all the Republican party. Your opposition to Goldwater in 1964, and his extreme war policies which were subsequently adopted by LBJ was not a political error although you felt its repercussions at Miami. Your day will come but only in your role as the executive leader of an independent new politics strategy. Winston Churchill's policy was a minority policy both in his party and in his country as a whole until the country faced a crisis and needed an executive leader with the right policy. Legislative leaders cannot assume this role however right their policies because the country demands an executive in time of crisis. However, you can make alliances with legislative leaders of either political party who support the right policy. Indeed, you cannot become a national leader



(8)

Before you jeopardize your independent political position which is potentially your most valuable political stock, please observe the dilemma of Complex captive Lyndon B. Johnson. Lyndon B. Johnson had no part in the conspiracy to assassinate John F. Kennedy. LBJ has not been wise at times, but he is certainly not stupid. An assassin's bullet in Texas brought a Texas Vice-President to the Presidency. If LBJ had been the type of conscienceless man that could have participated in such a conspiracy, Texas would have been the last place in the world that the President would have been executed.

However, if you can grasp credibility of such a monstrous conspiracy at all--and I must as a result of my studies in depth--you must assume the existence of conscienceless conspirators that wanted to make Lyndon B. Johnson a captive--at least sufficiently to prevent the exposure of such a monstrous conspiracy. Execution in Texas was a master stroke of conspiracy because no President from Texas could have a Warren Report of possible conspiracy and face the 1964 election, whatever the facts. It also follows that neither LBJ nor a loyal successor could permit a reopening of investigation into President Kennedy's murder.

On the other hand, the trials of the accused in the two succeeding assassinations of the industrial state with neither LBJ nor his hand-picked successor enjoying presidential powers will surely force reconsideration of the Warren Report--unless the very sophisticated conspirators in anticipation of this have already made Nixon their captive in this regard.

No political candidate for President except McCarthy has called for an investigation of the CIA which is the equivalent of reopening the Warren investigation. No candidates except you and McCarthy had a peace program for Vietnam that did not depend upon what the enemy did first. Therefore, no other candidates could be bound by their campaign promises, including both Nixon and Humphrey. Senator McCarthy correctly regarded Humphrey's quibbling over what is to be considered reciprocal action by North Vietnam as no change from the policy initiated by LBJ.

Governor Rockefeller, there is one political code phrase that has come to mean identification with the peace forces in either of the major political parties. It is "investigation of the CIA". To sophisticated ears and even, more and more, to unsophisticated ears it means not only a recognition of the CIA role in subverting Congress' foreign policy and its role as provocateur as in the Tonkin Gulf and Pueblo incidents but it means investigation of the indications of conspiracy behind the assassinations of the two most effective opponents of the war in Vietnam, Robert F. Kennedy and Martin Luther King.



(9)

When Vice President Humphrey was in Seattle this political code position was passed on to Bill Connel, the highest ranking political strategist traveling with the Humphrey party. The purpose was to separate the sheep from the goats, the accepted member of the peace forces from those who want to straddle the fence until after the election. It was clearly pointed out that it was unnecessary to either repudiate LBJ or to make allegations that could not be proved before election. Although the meeting confirmed that the Humphrey forces were aware of the H. L. Hunt money flowing to both the Nixon and the Wallace campaign we are aware that Humphrey's campaign to "stop Kennedy" organized in New York had brought Complex money into the Humphrey camp. The position taken by Humphrey at Salt Lake City immediately after Seattle did not qualify as an acceptable peace position.

The political code position is also being passed on to you in this confidential letter. While there may be efforts, successful or unsuccessful, to raise the issue of the CIA or the diversion of the military supply stream in Vietnam before election and therefore some of the facts revealed in this letter may be revealed elsewhere, this letter itself will be confidential so that you may take any action you may feel is indicated without inhibitions.

I have no objections to your urging Nixon to support investigation of the CIA but in view of his support by H. L. Hunt and others I am sure you will discover that Nixon could never raise this issue. The purpose of this letter, therefore, is to let this issue work on your conscience. Should you decide to adopt a position independent of that of Nixon before elections in view of the possibility of the Electoral College making an independent choice of President and Vice President this year, your decision could be made known by your raising the issue of "investigation of the CIA". Of course, no one is demanding conviction of the CIA in the newspapers, so any political leader is expected to reserve decision on what to do until after investigation establishes the facts.

My position on what will be revealed by a thorough investigation is stated in the action paragraph of my letter of August 14, 1968 addressed to Senator J. William Fulbright, Chairman, Committee on Foreign Relations, a copy of which you have in your possession:

"It is my firm prediction that when the Foreign Relations Committees get to the bottom of the CIA's conspiracy to usurp Congress' foreign policy authority they will also have solved the conspiracies behind the continuing assassinations of the industrial state."

A committee investigator (not the Foreign Relations Committee) is coming to Washington State this week to interview the investigator who spent 18 months in South Vietnam and who was propositioned to assassinate Robert F. Kennedy a year before the killing.



It may very well be that this may be the logical opportunity to successfully release to the press the first indications of the depth of the corruption of the American political process with the huge amount of campaign funds that is being accomplished this year. A leading example is H. L. Hunt of Dallas, oil industry billionaire.

H. L. Hunt is, of course, notorious for his support of ultra right wing causes. Few know, however, even today after the most publicized assassination in history that his son, Nelson Bunker Hunt and the local coordinator for the John Birch Society paid for the full page ad bordered in black that appeared in the Dallas Morning News shortly before President Kennedy was shot within sight of Hunt's office. No apology was issued by the arrogant billionaire who is truly beyond the law.

More sinister is the fact that the advertising manager of the newspaper who accepted the ad and who was only 45 years of age and in good health mysteriously dropped dead about two weeks after the assassination. Jack Ruby's alibi for his whereabouts at the time of the assassination was that he was placing his weekend ads for his nightclubs at the Dallas Morning News offices. Checking this alibi is important because if a shot was fired from the direction of the grassy knoll Jack Ruby with his intimate acquaintance with most Dallas police would be the logical man to undertake to remove the weapon in his truck. ]

The Warren Report ignored the implications of the testimony of Julia Anne Mercer who swore she saw Jack Ruby drop off a man with a rifle near the grassing knoll an hour and a half before the assassination. Although she swears to have reported this fact before Ruby shot Oswald, thereby negating any theory that she was influenced by television, the Warren Report neglected this fact so vital to evaluation.

Was the mysteriously stricken advertising manager the only witness that could alibi for Ruby or connect Ruby with H. L. Hunt whose money admittedly paid for the black-bordered ad? Does an oil billionaire have to answer to investigation and to the law or is he free to pour millions of dollars of oil company money into a Nixon-Agnew-Wallace-LeMay campaign to enforce "law and order" only in black ghettos?

District Attorney Jim Garrison's witness Dave Ferrie who linked the CIA for which he worked as a pilot with Lee Harvey Oswald died just as suddenly as the advertising manager when he also became a key witness necessary to establish conspiracy.



~~Green~~  
Chinas O  
Para 1 O

(11)

The used car lot employee who identified Oswald as the killer of Officer Tippit was himself killed by a man with a rifle. The chief suspect in this shooting was released on the alibi provided by a woman. The woman was picked up on a common charge and was later found hanged in a Dallas jail. Similarly a Mexican-American eager to tell what he knew about Sirhan Sirhan and the conspiracy to kill Robert F. Kennedy was found hanged in a Juarez, Mexico jail a short time after being interviewed by the FBI from El Paso. He was alleged to be mentally incompetent, but this has not been established although as a resident of Washington State this could be easily accomplished. Not only Oswald, but now Jack Ruby, are dead obliterating these avenues of establishing conspiracy.

Governor Rockefeller, if some oil fortunes can accomplish any evil, other oil fortunes can reverse evil and accomplish good. You have the opportunity to prove this axiom and prevent a national reaction against the oil industry and oil fortunes.

Jack Ruby's nightclubs were the hangouts of CIA agents in Dallas. The Warren Report contains the testimony of one who admits to gun running and identifies Jack Ruby as the "bag man" for the Vito Genovese branch of the Mafia in New York. Doesn't this bring "law and order" in Dallas close to "law and order" in New York?

I have established that Wallace electors can vote for Nixon. By the same token, Nixon electors are free to vote for Nelson Rockefeller if their conscience so dictates or party or national interest required it. In both cases, however, the individual elector must be convinced it is legal to exercise discretion. It is apparent that Wallace electors have been carefully selected to achieve this result if the election indicates no nominee will gain "first ballot" election unless the minority party switches electoral votes.

Have New York's Republican electors been prepared for the possibility of a vote for you under certain conditions? The Complex has, no doubt, prepared a strategy very similar to the strategy that stopped you at Miami. Can you take advantage of a "double back-lash" of public opinion that may occur on or after November 5th but before the Electoral College casts their votes? If the Electoral College is deadlocked have you a strategy for the House of Representatives and the Senate? This strategy could only be Rockefeller for President and McCarthy for Vice President to break a deadlock over Nixon and Humphrey as to favor one party completely would fail to win sufficient votes or achieve the charisma of a ticket of national union based upon the new politics and national concern over the corruption of the American political process with campaign funds. You may be sure that the Complex and Wallace do have a strategy to achieve an electoral college majority for their ultra right wing plans.



A deadlock potential is now more likely. I predict that Hubert Humphrey has reached the lowest point in the public opinion polls and will gain points from now until election. However, unlike Truman in 1948, he is not likely to gain enough lost ground to achieve the Presidency on November 5th in the Electoral College or in the House.

On the other hand, there now exists a strong possibility that his late declaration of independence in favor of a halt to the bombing of North Vietnam may be sufficient to deny Nixon a majority of electoral votes, especially if a majority of the electoral votes of New York electors are cast for Nelson Rockefeller. Either of these eventualities should be prepared for.

A third eventuality might be a spontaneous draft of a national union ticket by voters writing in the names of Rockefeller for President and McCarthy for Vice President. While this may take no electoral votes it might imbue the ticket with so much charisma that the Electoral College or the House and Senate could not ignore the demand for national union.

An ultra right wing move to put Wallace electoral votes in Nixon's column should be countered by a strategy to secure New York electors' votes for Rockefeller. This move should be strengthened by a McCarthy effort to secure Humphrey electoral votes for McCarthy in states where the Humphrey electoral ticket won.

While a campaign for the votes of the Electoral College or the House and Senate may now appear to be fantastic, it should be noted that Wallace may get 25% of the popular vote just by projecting such a possibility that will make his votes count.

The important point to remember is that the winner of such an unprecedented Electoral College contest must have the quality of "charisma". Politics has associated this quality with President Kennedy, Martin Luther King and Robert F. Kennedy but not with Nelson Rockefeller.

The Kennedy brothers are proof that "charisma" is not necessarily to be denied a wealthy man. The determinative factor for "charisma" is leadership of a legal, non-violent revolution against an "establishment" believed to be corrupted.

You vacillated in your determination to run for President in 1968--- but so did Robert F. Kennedy. Although a severe setback, had Robert Kennedy lived he would have overcome this deficiency of vacillation which made him appear to be an opportunist after McCarthy's victory in New Hampshire and the withdrawal of LBJ from the race.

The moral of this review is that Nelson Rockefeller may have another chance to achieve charisma by decisively assuming executive leadership of the John F. Kennedy-Martin Luther King-Robert F. Kennedy-Eugene McCarthy-Nelson Rockefeller legal and non-violent revolution against the establishment.



(13)

This is the year for it--the unpredictable year of 1968. Even George Wallace's spurious demagogic charisma is attributable to his leadership of a revolt against "the establishment in the eyes of his followers.

The prerequisites for "charisma" should be achieved, however, in your public relations before November 5th if you are to exploit a potentially possible "double back-lash" of public opinion that may develop before or soon after the election and the potential compromise of the top nominees feared. The principal fear may be that a Nixon or Humphrey choice of the Electoral College may lack "the capacity to govern" in the face of widespread disillusionment caused by a dramatic shift of public opinion immediately before or after the election.

Such disillusionment may come from a belated realization of the narrowness of the popular base of the potentially winning ticket or a compromise of principle involved. Under these circumstances, leadership to achieve national union will achieve an unprecedented reversal of popular opinion, especially isolating those relying upon the "law and order" issue for their popular base.


The public relations positions recommended for achieving the "charisma" of leadership of the "new politics" before November 5th are:

1. A public position supporting the continuance of the new politics "revolution" initiated by John F. Kennedy, Martin Luther King and Robert F. Kennedy.
2. A public position endorsing an investigation of possible usurpation of Congress' prerogative to establish foreign policy by the Central Intelligence Agency.
3. A public view of alarm at the subversion of the American electoral process by large campaign contributions from defense-oriented industry.

It is my prediction that the unprecedented surprises of the 1968 presidential campaign season are not yet over. Even if Nixon and Agnew were elected and routinely inaugurated on January 20th they would soon face a "capacity to govern" crisis of proportions much greaver than that of LBJ. Like LBJ's administration it is hardly likely it will be able to be reelected or name its successor if, indeed, it is elected in 1968.

Consequently, I strongly urge you to give earnest consideration to implementing the above prerequisites for your draft by the Electoral Congress or by the House of Representatives as the President of national union that may be urgently needed by the country to resolve a deadlock and govern effectively during the next four or eight years.

Sincerely,

  
Anos E. Heacock  
2774 70th S. E., Mercer Island, Wash.